



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/849,322	05/04/2001	Paul F. Klein	G&C 30695.21-US-U1 9218		
22462	7590 06/16/2005		EXAMINER		
GATES & COOPER LLP HOWARD HUGHES CENTER			BAYARD, DJENANE M		
	R DRIVE WEST, SUITE 10	050	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA 90045		2141		
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/849,322	KLEIN, PAUL F.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Djenane M. Bayard	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date o			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	Ŋ. ` ´		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ul> <li>2.  The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expense a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS</li> <li>3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further composed to the proposed form of the new matter (see NOTE below).</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) be filed within the time period set for the date of filing a brie busideration and/or search (see NC pw);	), to avoid dismissal on the in 37 CFR 41.37( orth in 37 CFR 41.37( of, will <u>not</u> be entered of the below);	of the appeal. a). because
appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			, (,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		,	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a

## 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12.	☐ Note the attached Information	Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449)	Paper No(s)

<u> </u>	_	~			
3. I		Ott	ner		

Continuation of 11. As per claim 1,14 and 27, Applicant's argument has been considered but is not persuasive. Applicant argues that Borella faisl to teach a calibrated object library on a server. However, Borella clearly teaches wherein an amount of electronic content to dynamically sent to a user that is using the first network device latency. Furthermore, Borella teaches wherein network connection with with a faster response time, users expect the requested content to be of a higher quality and contain a larger variety of content. On a network with a slower response time, users will accept a the content to a of a lower quality (See col. 4). Applicant argues that Borella fails to teach a client transmitting a request to a server for an object of a pre-known size and properties and a client obtaining the object of pre-known size and properties across a network. However, Borella clearly teaches whrein ICMP packets return with a timestamp that can be used to determine round-trip latency. (See col. 5). It is well known in the art that ICMP packet is an object of pre-known size. Furthermore, Borella clearly teaches wherein the TCP packet's round trip times are continuously recorded by a socket API and an estimated of the mean and variance of the round-trip delays are used to determine a network latency (See col. 5).

VVNRUPAL DHARIA JUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER